



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_4486
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF GRADED LEVELS OF YEAST FED IN WATER ON THE PERFORMANCE OF BROILER CHICKENS
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript deal with the determination of the effect of graded levels of yeast in drinking water on the performance of chickens. I do not recommend the paper for publishing in British Journal of Applied Science & Technology due to the very serious deficiencies listed below. Methodology, results and discussion were too superficial to make a proper judgement. 1. The manuscript contains a number of typing errors. There is not explained abbreviation – SPSS. 2. M & M a. there it was used mixed sexes of chicken, but sex ratio is not known – it may very affect the results of performance characteristics than yeast addition b. 30 chicks per treatment is not sufficient for performance analysis c. missing information of: i. age of chicken at the beginning and at the end of the experiment ii. protein efficiency ratio determination iii. which yeast was used d. insufficiently described statistical analysis 3. The results are not adequately discussed. 4. Conclusion missing.	SPSS= Staistical Package for sociala sciences M &M= Materials and methods 2a. Nigeria does not separate broiler chicken into sexes, and there is no such separation into sex ratios in any published work in Nigeria. I need to know one in NIGERIA. 2b. 30 chiks per treatment translates to 150 birds times 3. That is, 450 birds as this report is only a part of an elaborate work on yeast in water, feed and in water and in feed. Check literature and determine how many works have been this encompassing. 2ci. This work started at the starter phase and culminated at the finisher stage. The one reported is only the finisher stage. 2cii. Protein efficiency ratio was taken but not reported and has been included. 2ciii. The yeast used was <i>S. cereviaciae</i>. 2d. The statistical tool used was analysis of varaiance and means separated using Duncan's multiple range test. 3. There is a dart of information of effect of <i>s. cerevisae</i> on broiler finisher. check literature. We sorry for ommitting the



SDI Review Form 1.6

	5. References are not adjusted according to the instructions for authors.	conclusion which we have added. I could not access SDI template as concerns the tem[plate.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		